

Opinions and Orders of Previous Years

Subject: Opinions and Orders of Previous Years **Case Number:** CV 99-11629-GAF (AJWX), Rampart Cases **Title:** Javier F. Ovando v. City of Los Angeles, et al. - Civil Minutes **Date Posted:** 02/06/2001

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

Javier F. Ovando,	Plaintiff,) Case No. CV 99-11629-GAF (AJWX)
) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL
v.)
City of Los Angeles, et al.	Defendant.)

The Honorable Gary Allen Feess, Judge

Marilynn Morris
Courtroom Deputy Clerk

None Present
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS:
None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS:
None Present

PROCEEDINGS: (In Chambers)

The Court previously stayed all proceedings in this case, including discovery, to address certain case management issues. The Court now partially lifts that stay to permit **any** defendant claiming **absolute immunity** from liability for damages and/or injunctive relief to move to dismiss on that ground according to the following schedule:

All motions directed to this issue must be filed by **Monday, February 26, 2001**. Oppositions to such motions shall be filed no later than **Monday, March 12, 2001**. Defendants may file Reply briefs on or before **Monday, March 19, 2001**. The Court will hear argument on any such motions on **Monday, March 26, 2001** at 9:30 A.M.

The Court further orders that any defendant — other than police officer defendants of any rank — who claims **qualified immunity from suit** must present that issue to the Court by way of a motion to dismiss on the following schedule: any such motion shall be filed no later than **Monday, March 5, 2001**; the opposition to such motions shall be filed no later than **Monday, March 19, 2001**; reply briefs are to be filed no later than **Monday, March 26, 2001**. The Court will hear argument on any such motions on **Monday, April 2, 2001**, at 9:30 A.M.

This order partially lifts the stay in place in this case to permit the filing of the motions described above. This order is permissive only; no defendant is required to file any motion.

Parties choosing to file such motions are relieved of any obligation to comply with Local Rules 7.4.1 and 7.14. No other case-related activities may proceed at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

Javier F. Ovando, Plaintiff,
v. City of Los Angeles, et al. Defendant.) Case No. CV 99-11629-GAF (AJWX) CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

The Honorable Gary Allen Feess, Judge

Marilynn Morris
Courtroom Deputy Clerk None Present
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFFS: None Present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANTS: None Present

PROCEEDINGS: (In Chambers)

The Court previously stayed all proceedings in this case, including discovery, to address certain case management issues. The Court now partially lifts that stay to permit any defendant claiming absolute immunity from liability for damages and/or injunctive relief to move to dismiss on that ground according to the following schedule: All motions directed to this issue must be filed by Monday, February 26, 2001. Oppositions to such motions shall be filed no later than Monday, March 12, 2001. Defendants may file Reply briefs on or before Monday, March 19, 2001. The Court will hear argument on any such motions on Monday, March 26, 2001 at 9:30 A.M.

The Court further orders that any defendant — other than police officer defendants of any rank — who claims qualified immunity from suit must present that issue to the Court by way of a motion to dismiss on the following schedule: any such motion shall be filed no later than Monday, March 5, 2001; the opposition to such motions shall be filed no later than Monday, March 19, 2001; reply briefs are to be filed no later than Monday March 26, 2001. The Court will hear argument on any such motions on Monday, April 2, 2001, at 9:30 A.M. This order partially lifts the stay in place in this case to permit the filing of the motions described above. This order is permissive only; no defendant is required to file any motion. Parties choosing to file such motions are relieved of any obligation to comply with Local Rules 7.4.1 and 7.14. No other case-related activities may proceed at this time.

IT IS SO ORDERED.