

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

STATE OF CALIFORNIA <i>ex rel.</i> RoNo, LLC,)	CASE NO. CV 01-8587 AHM (CW _x)
Plaintiffs,)	ORDER PRECLUDING USE OF
v.)	ERRONEOUS WEBSITE POSTING
ALTUS FINANCE, S.A., et. al.,)	
Defendants.)	

It has come to the Court’s attention that an error was made when this Court’s May 8, 2002 “Order Granting Defendants’ Motion to Dismiss” was posted on the District Court’s website. Specifically, although as filed (and apparently as circulated to the parties directly) that Order contained only 21 pages and dealt only with the Attorney General’s lack of standing, in the version posted on the website, several additional pages from a tentative, non-final, draft opinion shown to the parties at the April 22, 2002 hearing were also included. Those pages, containing analyses of “Article III Standing,” “Statute of Limitations,” “RICO Claims” and “Rule 9(b),” are not part of the Court’s order, do not reflect any ruling by the Court and are not to be cited or used by any party, person or

1 entity for any reason. Nor may any party, person or entity include those pages on
2 any document submitted to any court.

3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE: May ____, 2002

A. Howard Matz
United States District Judge