

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

In Re: KIA HYUNDAI VEHICLE
THEFT MARKETING, SALES
PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS
LIABILITY LITIGATION

CASE NO: ML 22-3052 JVS (KESx)

ORDER STRIKING PORTIONS OF
SUBROGATION PLAINTIFFS'
MASTER COMPLAINT

This document relates to:
ALL Subrogation cases


On August 15, 2023, the Court ordered the Subrogation Plaintiffs to show cause in writing why the Court should not on its own motion strike paragraphs 19 and 20 and Exhibit C to the MASTER COMPLAINT FOR ALL SUBROGATION PLAINTIFFS and any other provisions which are intended to support or implement the concept of Adoption. (Docket No. 191.) The Subrogation Plaintiffs filed a response (Docket No. 204), and Defendants filed a response thereto. (Docket No. 212.)

Having considered the parties' views, the Court now strikes paragraphs 19 and 20 and Exhibit C to the MASTER COMPLAINT FOR ALL SUBROGATION PLAINTIFFS, and bars any attempt to implement to concept of Adoption.

1 As the Court stated in the Order to Show Cause, the concept of Adoption—allowing
2 an individual insurer plaintiff, presumably both current and future insurance
3 plaintiffs, to independently determine whether it wishes to be bound by the Court’s
4 orders—is fundamentally antithetical to 28 U.S.C. 1407 and the purposes of the
5 multi-district process.

6
7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8
9 Dated: September 25, 2023



10
11 James V. Selna
United States District Judge