1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8	CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
9	
10	XXX, Case No. CV FMO (x)
11	Plaintiff(s),
12	v. NITIAL STANDING ORDER
13	XXX,
14	Defendant(s).
15	>
16	PLEASE READ THIS ORDER CAREFULLY. IT GOVERNS THIS CASE AND DIFFERS
17	IN SOME RESPECTS FROM THE LOCAL RULES.
18	The term "Counsel," as used in this Order, includes parties appearing pro se.
19	This case has been assigned to the calendar of Judge Fernando M. Olguin. In an effort to
20	comply with Fed. R. Civ. P. 1's mandate "to secure the just, speedy, and inexpensive
21	determination of every action[,]" the court orders as follows.
22	I. SERVICE.
23	A. <u>This Order</u> : Counsel for plaintiff(s) shall serve this Order immediately on all parties
24	and/or their counsel, including any new parties to the action. If this case was removed from state
25	court, defendant(s) who removed the case shall serve this Order on all other parties.
26	B. <u>The Complaint</u> : Plaintiff shall promptly serve the complaint in accordance with Fed.
27	R. Civ. P. 4 and 5 and file the proofs of service pursuant to Local Rule 5-3. Any defendant not
28	timely served under Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m) shall be dismissed from the action without prejudice.

II. CONSENT TO A MAGISTRATE JUDGE.

Under 28 U.S.C. § 636, the parties may consent to have a magistrate judge preside over all proceedings, including trial. The magistrate judges who accept those designations are identified on the Central District's website, which also contains the consent form. If the parties indicate in their Rule 26(f) report that they wish to consent to a magistrate judge, they should, if possible, indicate to which judge the parties will consent.

III. DISCOVERY.

A. Generally.

Discovery is governed by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and applicable Local Rules of the Central District of California. Pro se litigants are entitled to discovery to the same extent as are litigants represented by counsel. The court allows discovery to commence as soon as the first answer or motion to dismiss is filed. The parties should note that, unless otherwise ordered, discovery shall not be stayed while any motion is pending, including any motion to dismiss, motion for protective order or motion to stay. The parties are directed to conduct any necessary discovery as soon as possible, as the court is not inclined to grant any extensions of the discovery or other case-related deadlines.

Counsel are expected to comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and all Local Rules concerning discovery. Whenever possible, the court expects counsel to resolve discovery disputes among themselves in a courteous, reasonable and professional manner. The court expects that counsel will adhere strictly to the Civility and Professionalism Guidelines (available on the Central District's website under Information for Attorneys > Attorney Admissions).

B. <u>Discovery Matters Referred to Magistrate Judge</u>.

All discovery matters have been referred to the assigned magistrate judge, who will hear all discovery disputes. The magistrate judge's initials follow the district judge's initials next to the case number. All discovery-related documents must include the words "DISCOVERY MATTER" in the caption to ensure proper routing. Counsel are directed to review the magistrate judge's Procedures and Schedules on the Central District's website to schedule discovery matters for

hearing. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 37 and deliver mandatory chambers copies of discovery-related papers to the magistrate judge.

C. Review of Magistrate Judge's Decision.

The court will not reverse any order of the magistrate judge unless it has been shown that the magistrate judge's order is clearly erroneous or contrary to law. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(A). Any party may file and serve a motion for review of the magistrate judge's decision within fourteen (14) days of service of a written ruling or an oral ruling that the magistrate judge states will not be followed by a written ruling. The motion must specify which portions of the ruling are clearly erroneous or contrary to law and support the contention with points and authorities. Counsel shall deliver a conformed copy of the moving papers and responses to the magistrate judge's courtroom deputy clerk ("CRD") at the time of filing.

Counsel are advised that a motion for review that contains declarations or arguments that were not presented to the magistrate judge in the first instance will normally not be considered. See 14 Moore's Federal Practice § 72.11[1][a], at 72-53 (3d ed. 2016) ("A party's failure to present timely arguments, case law, or evidentiary materials to a magistrate judge prior to the magistrate's ruling, thereby depriving the magistrate of the opportunity to rectify any alleged errors, waives that party's right to present those arguments or materials to the district court on appeal from the magistrate's nondispositive order."); Seven For All Mankind, LLC v. GenX Clothing, Inc., 2006 WL 5720346, *3 (C.D. Cal. 2006) ("The district judge will normally not consider arguments, case law, or evidentiary material which could have been, but was not, presented to the magistrate judge in the first instance.") (internal quotation marks omitted).

IV. MOTIONS.

A. <u>General Requirements</u>.

1. Time for Filing and Hearing Motions.

Motions shall be filed in accordance with Local Rule 7. The court hears motions on Thursdays at 10:00 a.m. unless otherwise ordered by the court. If a Thursday is a national holiday, motions will be heard on the next Thursday. It is not necessary to clear a hearing date with the court's CRD before filing a motion. If the motion date selected is not

available, counsel should notice the motion for the next available date. Any motion that is noticed more than 35 days beyond the date the motion is filed shall be stricken or advanced to an earlier motion date. If the motion is advanced to an earlier motion date, counsel shall comply with the briefing schedule dictated by the new hearing date. See Local Rules 7-9 & 7-10.

2. Compliance with Local Rule 7-3.

Local Rule 7-3 requires counsel to engage in a prefiling conference "to discuss thoroughly, **preferably in person**, the substance of the contemplated motion and any potential resolution." The court takes this rule seriously, and counsel shall discharge their obligations under Local Rule 7-3 in good faith. The purpose of the Local Rule 7-3 prefiling conference is twofold: first, it facilitates possible informal resolution of an issue without court intervention; second, it enables the parties to brief the remaining disputes in a thoughtful, concise and useful manner. If a motion is still necessary after a good faith prefiling conference, counsel should have sufficiently discussed the issues so that the briefing will be directed to those substantive issues that require resolution by the court. Minor procedural or other non-substantive matters should be resolved by counsel during the course of the conference.

- a. All Local Rule 7-3 conferences shall take place via a communication method that, at a minimum, allows all parties to be in realtime communication. In other words, letters and e-mail are insufficient to satisfy the prefiling conference requirements of Local Rule 7-3.
- b. Notwithstanding Local Rule 16-12(c), the parties in cases in which a party is appearing pro se are required to meet and confer in compliance with Local Rule 7-3 unless the pro se party is incarcerated.
- c. Notwithstanding the exception for preliminary injunction motions in Local Rule 7-3, counsel contemplating a preliminary injunction motion are required to meet and confer, in substantive compliance with Local Rule 7-3, at least five (5) days prior to the filing of such a motion.

1 2 3

3. Length and Format of Motion Papers.

Local Rule 11-6 limits all memoranda of points and authorities to "25 pages in length, excluding indices and exhibits, unless permitted by order of the judge." Leave to exceed the page limitation will be granted only in extraordinary circumstances where counsel make an adequate showing of specific facts in support of an application to exceed the page limitation. Any supplemental briefs filed without prior leave of court will be stricken.

If documentary evidence in support of or in opposition to a motion exceeds 50 pages, the evidence must be separately bound and tabbed and include an index. If such evidence exceeds **300 pages**, the documents shall be placed in a **three-ring binder**, with an index and with each item of evidence separated by a tab divider on the right side. In addition, counsel shall provide an electronic copy (<u>i.e.</u>, cd, dvd, or flash drive) of the documents in a single, OCR-scanned, .pdf file with each item of evidence separated by labeled bookmarks. Counsel shall ensure that all documents are legible.

4. Citations to Case Law and Other Sources.

Citations to case law must identify not only the case cited but the specific page referenced. Statutory references should identify with specificity the sections and subsections referenced. Citations to treatises, manuals and other materials should include the volume, section and pages being referenced.

5. Citations to the Record.

Counsel should cite to docket numbers (and sub-numbers) when citing to the record.

6. **Proposed Orders**.

Each party filing or opposing a motion or seeking the determination of any matter shall serve and electronically lodge – at the time the moving or opposition papers are filed – a proposed order setting forth the relief or action sought and a brief statement of the rationale for the decision with appropriate citations. In addition, a copy of the proposed order in WordPerfect (the court's preference) or Word format shall be e-mailed to chambers at fmo_chambers@cacd.uscourts.gov on the day the document is e-filed.

7. **Oral Argument**.

If the court deems a matter appropriate for decision without oral argument, the court will notify the parties in advance. <u>See</u> Local Rule 7-15. The court strongly encourages parties to permit less experienced lawyers, including lawyers from historically underrepresented groups, to actively participate in the proceedings by presenting argument at motion hearings or examining witnesses at trial. The court is amenable to permitting a number of lawyers to argue for one party if this creates an opportunity for such attorneys to participate.

B. Specific Motions.

1. Motions Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12.

- a. Unless clearly justified under the circumstances of the case, "motions to dismiss or in the alternative for summary adjudication" are discouraged. These composite motions tend to blur the legitimate distinctions between the two motions, which have different purposes. Frequently, the composite motions introduce evidence that is extrinsic to the pleadings. On the one hand, such evidence is generally improper for consideration on a Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(b)(6) motion, while on the other hand, treatment of the motion as a Rule 56 motion frequently results in reasonable invocation of Rule 56(d) by the non-moving party.
- b. Motions to dismiss or to strike are discouraged unless counsel have a good faith belief that such motions will likely result in dismissal, without leave to amend, of all or at least some of the claims or counterclaims under applicable law.

Many motions to dismiss or to strike can be avoided if the parties confer in good faith (as required by Local Rule 7-3), especially for perceived defects in a complaint, answer or counterclaim that could be corrected by amendment. See Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc., 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003) (where a motion to dismiss is granted, a district court should provide leave to amend unless it is clear that the complaint could not be saved by any amendment). Moreover, a party has the right to amend the complaint "once as a matter of course[.]" Fed. R.

Civ. P. 15(a)(1). Even after a complaint has been amended or a responsive pleading has been served, the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure provide that "[t]he court should freely give leave [to amend] when justice so requires." Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)(2). The Ninth Circuit requires that this policy favoring amendment be applied with "extreme liberality." Owens v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc., 244 F.3d 708, 712 (9th Cir. 2001).

These principles require that plaintiff's counsel carefully evaluate defendant's contentions as to the deficiencies in the complaint. In most instances, the moving party should agree to any amendment that would cure the defect.

2. Motions to Amend.

In addition to complying with the requirements of Local Rule 15-1, all motions to amend pleadings shall: (1) state the effect of the amendment; and (2) identify the page and line number(s) and wording of any proposed change or addition of material. The proposed amended pleading shall be serially numbered to differentiate it from previously amended pleadings.

In addition to Local Rule 15-1's requirement of electronic lodging of the proposed amended pleading as a document separate from the motion, counsel shall attach as an appendix to the moving papers a "redlined" version of the proposed amended pleading, indicating all additions and deletions of material.

3. Motions for Class Certification.

Notwithstanding Local Rule 23-3, the deadline for the filing of a motion for class certification will be set either during the Scheduling Conference or in the Court's Case Management and Scheduling Order issued after the Scheduling Conference. No request for relief from Local Rule 23-3 is necessary. All class certification motions shall comply with the requirements set forth in the Court's Order Re: Motions for Class Certification, which will be issued at the time the court issues its Case Management and Scheduling Order, i.e., after the Scheduling Conference.

4. Dispositive Motions.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Each side is allowed one motion for summary judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, regardless of whether such motion is denominated as a motion for summary judgment or summary adjudication. To the extent it is appropriate based on undisputed facts and controlling principles of law, the court may sua sponte enter summary judgment for the nonmoving party.

Counsel should not wait until the motion cutoff to file their motion for summary judgment or partial summary judgment. All potentially dispositive motions shall comply with the requirements set forth in the Court's Order Re: Summary Judgment Motions, which will be issued at the time the court issues its Case Management and Scheduling Order, i.e., after the Scheduling Conference.

V. EX PARTE APPLICATIONS.

Ex parte applications are considered on the papers and are not normally set for hearing. Counsel are advised to file and serve their ex parte applications as soon as they realize that extraordinary relief is necessary. The court entertains <u>ex parte</u> applications only in extraordinary circumstances; sanctions may be imposed for misuse of the ex parte process. See McVay v. FedEx Ground, et al., 2003 WL 22769080, *2 (C.D. Cal. 2003); see also In re Intermagnetics Am., Inc., 101 B.R. 191 (C.D. Cal. 1989).

Ex parte applications that fail to conform to Local Rule 7-19 and 7-19.1, including a statement of opposing counsel's position, will not be considered except on a specific showing of good cause. The moving party shall electronically serve the opposing party, if possible. A party is considered served once the <u>ex parte</u> application has been e-filed (all parties set up for electronic service are sent a notification of ECF filing each time a document is e-filed with a link to the document for one free view). For those parties set up for service by fax or mail, the ex parte application must be served by fax or personal service.

Following service of the <u>ex parte</u> papers, the moving party shall notify the opposition that opposing papers must be filed no later than twenty-four (24) hours (or one court day) following service, except in cases where the opposing party has not previously appeared (i.e., responded to the complaint). In those cases where the opposing party has not previously appeared, the 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |

moving party shall, following service of the <u>ex parte</u> papers, notify the opposition that opposing papers must be filed no later than forty-eight (48) hours following service. If a party does not intend to oppose an <u>ex parte</u> application, the party must inform the CRD as soon as possible. Unless otherwise ordered, reply briefs are not allowed and will be stricken.

On the day the documents are e-filed, a conformed copy of the moving, opposition or notice of non-opposition papers must be hand-delivered to the Clerk's Office window on the 4th Floor of the First Street Courthouse, 350 W. 1st Street, Los Angeles, CA 90012.

VI. MANDATORY CHAMBERS COPIES.

A copy of all papers filed with the court shall be delivered to the Clerk's Office window on the 4th Floor of the First Street Courthouse, **no later than 12:00 noon the following business day**. All chambers copies shall comply fully with the document formatting requirements of Local Rule 11-3, including the "backing" requirements of Local Rule 11-4.1, and this Order. See supra at § IV.A.3. Counsel may be subject to sanctions for failure to deliver a mandatory chambers copy in full compliance with this Order and Local Rules 11-3 and 11-4.1.

VII. CONTINUANCES OR EXTENSIONS OF TIME.

Requests for continuances will not be granted routinely. A stipulation to continue the date of any matter must be supported by a detailed declaration that demonstrates good cause as to why the change in the date is essential. The stipulation must also indicate whether there have been any previous requests for continuances and whether those requests were granted or denied by the court. Counsel requesting a continuance must electronically file a stipulation and lodge a proposed order including a detailed explanation of the grounds for the requested continuance.

If it is necessary to file an <u>ex parte</u> application seeking an extension of any deadlines, the application must be accompanied by a declaration setting forth the reasons for the requested extension of time. The declaration must also indicate whether there have been any previous requests for continuances and whether those requests were granted or denied by the court.

Counsel must submit any request for a continuance or extension of time **no later than five**(5) court days prior to the expiration of the scheduled date.

VIII. CASES REMOVED FROM STATE COURT.

All documents filed in state court, including documents appended to the complaint, answers and motions, must be re-filed in this court as a supplement to the notice of removal. See 28 U.S.C. § 1447(a)-(b). If defendant has not yet answered or filed a motion in response to the complaint, the answer or responsive pleading filed in this court must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules. If, before the case was removed, a motion or demurrer in response to the complaint was pending in state court, it must be re-filed and re-noticed in accordance with the applicable Local Rules. Counsel shall file with their first appearance an original and two copies of a Notice of Interested Parties in accordance with Local Rule 7.1.

If the removed action contains a form pleading (<u>i.e.</u>, a pleading in which boxes are checked), the party or parties using the form pleading must file an appropriate pleading with this court within twenty-one (21) days of receipt of the notice of removal. The appropriate pleading referred to must comply with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 7, 7.1, 8, 9, 10 and 11.

IX. CASES TRANSFERRED FROM ANOTHER DISTRICT.

All documents filed in the transferor court **must** be re-filed with this court, within twenty (20) days of transfer, by the party that sought transfer. Such filing shall be submitted as a "Notice of Filing of Documents from Other District" ("Notice"), with a compilation of all documents, individually tabbed, attached as an appendix. The Notice shall bear a title page containing the information required by Local Rule 11-3.8 and shall otherwise conform with Local Rule 11-3.5 and all other relevant Local Rules. The Notice shall also contain a table of contents.

Date: December, 2017.

/s/
Fernando M. Olguin
United States District Judge