

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**United States District Court
Central District of California**

Plaintiff,
v.

Defendant.

Case № **ODW(VBKx)**

PATENT STANDING ORDER

UNLESS OTHERWISE ORDERED BY THE COURT, THE FOLLOWING RULES APPLY TO ALL PATENT CASES ASSIGNED TO JUDGE OTIS D. WRIGHT, II. WHERE THESE RULES CONFLICT WITH RULES PROMULGATED ELSEWHERE, THIS DOCUMENT CONTROLS.

1. Patent local rules

The Court adopts the Patent Local Rules of the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Parties are expected to familiarize themselves with and closely adhere to these rules. A copy of the rules may be found at <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/localrules>.

///
///
///

2. Patent case timeline

1 The timeline set forth in the Patent Local Rules represents the maximum
2 lifecycle duration, not the typical. In most cases, the Court will issue a scheduling
3 order that is less than the maximum lifecycle prescribed by the Patent Local Rules.

4 Further, the Court modifies Patent Local Rules 3-1 and 3-5 so that Infringement
5 Contentions (or Invalidity Contentions), along with the required accompanying
6 document production under Patent Local Rules 3-2 and 3-4, must be served on all
7 parties not later than 14 days after the Initial Case Management Conference or the
8 Court's scheduling order, whichever is earlier.

9 **3. Summary-judgment motions**

10 Prior to filing any summary-judgment motion, the parties must submit letter
11 briefs requesting permission to file the motion. The opening letter brief must be no
12 longer than 5 pages and filed electronically with the Court via CM/ECF as a "Request
13 for Leave to File Motion for Summary Judgment." The letter brief must state the
14 basis for the summary-judgment motion and reasons why the motion is not premature.
15 Opposition letter briefs must be no longer than 5 pages and filed with the Court no
16 later than 7 days after the opening letter brief. No reply letter briefs may be filed
17 without the Court's permission. No hearing will be held unless otherwise ordered by
18 the Court.

19 The Court typically sets the motion deadline for 8 weeks after the discovery
20 cutoff. Because motions must be noticed 28 days before the hearing date under Local
21 Rule 6-1, and parties must have the Court's permission to file a summary-judgment
22 motion, parties are advised to file their opening letter briefs well in advance of the
23 motion cutoff.

24 The Court reminds counsel of their obligation to meet and confer prior to filing
25 the letter briefs. *See* L.R. 7-3.

26 ///

27 ///

28 **4. *Markman* claim-construction hearing**

1 Parties must notice a *Markman* hearing (as a motion) according to the
2 timeframe specified in the Patent Local Rules. See Patent L.R. 4-6. Failure to
3 properly notice will result in delays, and sanctions may be imposed for failure to abide
4 by the Court’s scheduling order. To allow for sufficient discovery after the *Markman*
5 hearing, the Court contemplates holding the *Markman* hearing sooner than the
6 maximum allotted time of 199 days after the Initial Case Scheduling Conference
7 under the Patent Local Rules. The Court will not entertain requests to continue the
8 discovery cut-off date absent good cause. Thus, if parties desire more time for
9 discovery after—rather than before—the *Markman* hearing, parties should take less
10 than the maximum allotted time under Patent Local Rules 3-1 to 3-5, 3-7, and 4-1 to
11 4-5.

12 The *Markman* hearing is scheduled for a maximum of 2 hours. Each side will
13 have a maximum of 1 hour to present evidence and argument in support of its
14 position. The parties may ask the Court well in advance if they need additional time.
15 The parties are reminded that additional time is disfavored since the Court will rely
16 heavily on the submitted briefs in making its decision. The parties are encouraged to
17 simplify the issues and focus on their main arguments during the *Markman* hearing.
18 Subject to the Court’s approval, parties will jointly agree to the format of the
19 *Markman* hearing.

20 The claim construction briefs have the following page limits: 25 for opening
21 and response, 12 for reply. Copies of all exhibits must be pre-marked, bound, and
22 tabbed. In addition to memoranda, parties must collaborate and jointly submit the
23 following: (1) Final Joint Claim Chart, which includes citations to intrinsic and
24 extrinsic evidence—to be filed on the same day as the opening brief; (2) Joint
25 Appendix of Extrinsic Evidence, which contains all extrinsic evidence relied upon in
26 the claim construction briefing—to be filed and served on the same day as the reply
27 brief.

28 If a party intends to present expert testimony at the *Markman* hearing, whether

1 as a witness or by way of affidavit, a statement of the expert's qualifications must be
2 submitted as an additional attachment to any memorandum submitted. If the parties
3 intend to present live witness testimony during the hearing, they should bring one
4 additional copy of the Appendix of Extrinsic Evidence for the witness stand.

5 Parties are further reminded of the 10-term limit for construction. Patent L.R.
6 4-3(c). Failure to make a good faith effort to narrow the disputed terms may expose
7 counsel to sanctions. Patent L.R. 4-7.

8 **5. Tutorial**

9 The parties are strongly encouraged to hold a tutorial prior to the *Markman*
10 hearing. The Court will schedule an additional 1 hour maximum to the *Markman*
11 hearing for the tutorial. The parties may ask the Court well in advance if they need
12 additional time. Any remaining time from the tutorial will not be added to extend the
13 total time for arguments and evidence. The tutorial must be conducted solely as an
14 objective presentation of the technology at issue. Visual aids and demonstrative
15 exhibits are strongly encouraged. The parties shall comply with the format, time
16 limitations, and scheduling rules set out in the forthcoming scheduling and case-
17 management order.

18 **6. Discovery**

19 The Court expects the parties to resolve discovery issues by themselves in a
20 courteous, reasonable, and professional manner. Unless otherwise directed, the
21 assigned **Magistrate Judge** will rule on all discovery motions and handle all
22 discovery issues.

23 The Court's Scheduling Order states the discovery cut-off date for all discovery,
24 both fact and expert. Expert discovery must be initiated so that it will be completed
25 on or before the discovery cut-off date. If necessary, parties will, in good faith,
26 stipulate to a fact discovery cut-off date.

27 Because patent cases tend to involve significant discovery concerning
28 confidential documents, parties are encouraged to file a stipulated protective order as

1 soon as possible. If one was not filed earlier, the Court requires parties to lodge a
2 stipulated protective order along with the parties' joint scheduling conference report
3 under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f), unless the parties deem such a protective
4 order unnecessary in this case.

5 **7. Patent file histories**

6 Concurrently with the parties' filing of the Joint Claim Construction and
7 Prehearing Statement under Patent Local Rule 4-3, patentees are required to provide
8 the Court a certified copy of the patent file history for each asserted patent.

9 The patent file history must be printed double-sided and compiled in a three-
10 ring binder. Prior art references¹ should not be included in the paper copy. In addition
11 to the paper copy of the patent file history, the patentee must submit an electronic
12 copy on a CD-ROM or DVD. Each patent file history must be a single electronic file
13 in PDF format. All prior art references must also be included on the CD-ROM or
14 DVD, with each prior art reference appearing as a separate, identifiable PDF file. The
15 patent file history and the associated CD-ROMs or DVDs should be sent directly to
16 Judge Wright's chambers and not filed with the Clerk's office or via CM/ECF.

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 **8. Jury instructions and special jury verdict form**

26

27

28 ¹ The documents listed under the "References Cited" section of the patent.

1 Although not mandatory, the Court favors the adoption of the Model Patent Jury
2 Instructions for the Northern District of California. Further, prior to the pre-trial
3 conference, the Court requires parties to file, among other documents, a proposed
4 special jury verdict form substantially based on the Sample Verdict Form, Appendix
5 C.3 of the Model Patent Jury Instructions for the Northern District of California. A
6 copy of the Model Patent Jury Instructions may be found at
7 <http://www.cand.uscourts.gov/juryinstructions>.

8
9 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

10
11
12
13
14

OTIS D. WRIGHT, II
15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE**
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28